

Environment at Clark

A history of addressing the climate problem

Walter Wright, May 2016

Clark has a long history of exploring the relations connecting human beings and their technologies with the natural world. Founded in 1921, the university's **Graduate School of Geography** is the nation's oldest sustained program for the study of human-environment relations. In those early days, however, human influences on the environment were not the central question¹. Instead, the "influences" at work were most often seen as traveling in the other direction: coming from the environment to shape human cultures and individuals. Still, from its inception, the Graduate School of Geography has been a cornerstone of excellence at Clark. A constant source of innovative thinking, the School has, through the work of its faculty and students, pioneered fields as diverse as humanistic geography, hazards and risk assessment, critical geography, animal geographies and feminist geography. The strong spirit of collaboration that exists among faculty and students has also fostered collaborations that have made Geography a strong community and has produced unique research.

Over time, Clark's focus (within Geography and other departments as well) turned toward more critical examination of human-environment relations. In 1972 Physics Prof. Christopher Hohenemser launched a program called "**Technology and Man**".² Hohenemser joined other colleagues including physicist Rob Goble as well as geographers Roger Kasperson and Bob Kates, to establish an interdisciplinary community for exploring a fuller understanding of human impacts on the environment. As a new undergraduate major, "Technology and Man" focused on helping students develop the scientific, technical, and critical skills necessary to understand and address issues raised by these impacts. Working with Hohenemser and Goble, students from *Technology and Man* made several innovative suggestions about campus energy use, including installing timers on classroom lights and building a co-generation plant.

¹ This is despite the fact that the potential danger of greenhouse gasses like CO² had been known at least since Arrhenius's 1896 paper.

² In 1975 *Technology and Man* was renamed *Science, Technology, and Society* (STS), a title that was both less problematic and more descriptive of the program's aims.

In 1974, having assumed the role of provost, Roger Kasperson initiated a parallel MA degree program in ***Environmental Affairs***.³ EA brought together courses and faculty from several disciplines to form a new degree program that focused on sustainability and added a stronger emphasis on environmental policy. These two programs became the matrix from which later developments would emerge; indeed, they anticipated and prepared the way for the developments of the next 40 years.

Science, Technology, and Society and *Environmental Affairs* continued as related but distinct undergraduate and graduate programs until the mid 1980's when they merged into a new joint BA/MA program named ***Environment, Technology, and Society***. The arrivals of Halina Brown and Ortwin Renn in the same period brought new energy and focus to environmental issues. Connecting high quality environmental science with cutting edge social science to guide policy-making became a hallmark of Clark's environmental research and teaching. Ultimately, the name ***ETS*** was changed again to ***Environmental Science and Policy***⁴ a designation that continues until today.

The university's trajectory on environmental matters shifted again in 1987, Clark's centennial year. Responding to a suggestion from Bob Kates, Bill Turner and a small group of colleagues had begun meeting in 1982 to plan an international symposium titled ***The Earth as Transformed by Human Action***. Five years in the making, the symposium took place between October 25 and 30, 1987. Over that week, a group of the planet's most distinguished earth scientists gathered in Worcester for an intensive exploration of how nineteen components of the biosphere were being changed by what humans were doing. Holding an average of four sessions each day, participants reviewed "the effect of human activity on the global environment for the previous three hundred years." As the conveners said, "humanity continues to face fundamental questions ... about the fate of the biosphere and the capacity of both nature and society to sustain life." Cambridge University Press published the proceedings in 1990 under the same title. As a result of these deliberations, the full range of humans impacts on the environment were now decisively on the table.

Roger Kasperson – serving once more as Provost – launched another new venture in 1993 when he convened an interdisciplinary faculty group and charged them with developing "a bold and innovative educational experience for undergraduates." He specifically instructed the group to promote "a holistic approach to the relationship

³ EA joined a group of other Master's programs that included an attached BA/MA track.

⁴ The details of this name change process to be added.

between humankind and the environment.” The ad hoc group drafted a proposal, which the faculty and administration formally approved in September of 1994. During the rest of that year the committee worked in concert with several departments to define a concrete curriculum. And in the fall of 1995, the first students matriculated into the new Clark **Environmental School**. The School’s program offered a “core curriculum” organized around nine specific courses designed to supplement the student’s major and added two upper level courses from within that major. It also featured special advising, a range of extra-curricular programs and opportunities, and modest *Research Apprenticeship* grants sponsored by the Culpeper Foundation.

Writing for a 1998 *Self Study*, Environmental School director Halina Brown summarized the School’s goals and the issues facing it. She expressed the hope that the ES would become “an emerging intellectual community concerned with the issues”; and that it would “offer <students> an educational experience that is different from our own.” At the same time, she recognized that “establishing a sustainable way of living” would challenge “existing institutional structures and economic systems.” After four years of leading the ES, Halina concluded that education for sustainability “must be complemented with a strong experiential problem-solving component drawn from the messy, uncontrolled, conflicted and contradictory world outside the world of abstract ideas.” Although the ES experienced a few growing pains along the way, the *Self Study* judged the School’s program to have met its goals. It also noted that students surveyed for the study wanted ES to be “more than courses,” to include “more experiential learning,” and to provide a stronger “sense of community.” The *Study* was optimistic about the School’s prospects and offered several specific suggestions for improvements. However, despite the *Self Study*’s analyses and recommendations, the administration decided to terminate the program. The example of the Environmental School contains important lessons about building ambitious new programs. As a case study, reviewing its history could prove instructive for us.

In 2000, Clark birthed yet another merger. ES&P was joined with another of Clark’s distinctive and excellent programs, *International Development*, to create a new interdisciplinary venture **International Development, Community and Environment (IDCE)**. IDCE was to focus “on removing the barriers between traditional academic departments and combining disciplines creatively.” IDCE’s mission is “to produce practical approaches to longstanding social, environmental, and economic problems, always mindful of the practitioners and communities we serve. We prepare activists, practitioners, scholars and change agents to think critically, act collectively and engage responsibly.” The graduate component of ES&P became one of four MA tracks

under the wider IDCE umbrella. Bill Fisher was hired from Harvard to shepherd this new creature.

At that time, Clark had a welter of undergraduate environmental education options that begged for reorganization. This was accomplished through a series of moves that revised the Geography undergraduate major, moved the undergraduate component of ES&P, and connected the environmental aspects of Biology into a new, single **Environmental Science** major. This new major has three tracks (a) *Earth System Science*; (b) *Environmental and Conservation Biology*; and (c) *Environmental Science and Policy*. Each of these tracks includes issues linked to climate. ESS focuses on connections between the Earth System's components (the lithosphere, atmosphere, hydrosphere and biosphere). It stands "at the heart of some of our most pressing physical science and nature-societal issues, including global climate change, water availability, and the loss of biological diversity." Conservation biology focuses in turn on "the biological knowledge necessary to preserve biodiversity". And finally, ES&P retains its historic role of linking natural and social science with policy concerns. It asks "how human activity is impacting the natural environment, along with ... perspectives on how these impacts can be managed and mitigated."

Beyond the academic curriculum, Clark's Centers and Institutes have also contributed significantly to campus conversations about climate change.

Through the *Difficult Dialogues Initiative* and several *Symposia* on themes related to climate change⁵, the **Higgins School of Humanities** has brought relevant programming to the campus. For Higgins, "humanistic inquiries and practices are crucial to our development as intellectually curious, socially engaged, and ethically-oriented beings." The "humanities and arts ground our capacity to engage with societal complexities — by developing historical, cultural, literary, linguistic, and philosophical consciousness, and encouraging empathic and aesthetic ways of knowing." Also, the Higgins based **Council on the Uncertain Human Future**⁶ has established an innovative and effective model for building deeper understanding of climate challenge. A national Council group (2014 and continuing), a Council at the University of Edinburgh (2016), and two Clark faculty Councils (2015, 2016) have taken place, and several others (and related projects) are planned or underway.

⁵ For example, see "Climate Change" (S08); "Old Forms Give Way, Visioning the New" (F09); and "The End of Things" (F12). Most of the other *Symposia*, those not fully focused on climate change, have included at least one event that addressed it.

⁶ Funded by the Mellon and Kaiser Foundations.

The George Perkins Marsh Institute focuses on research related to the question, “What is and ought to be our relationship with nature?” The Institute studies the natural, technological and socioeconomic systems that link humans with their surrounding environments, coordinating resources from Clark University and elsewhere to study human transformation of the environment and responses to this change. Many of its projects involve the question of global climate change. It also regularly brings relevant public programming to the campus.

The Mosakowski Institute for Public Policy has as its stated mission “to improve the effectiveness of government and other institutions in addressing social concerns through the successful mobilization of use-inspired research.” Climate change is one theme that the institute considers. In collaboration with Marsh, Mosakowski sponsors student internships with NOAA each summer; it also sponsors regular lectures and events.

Other Clark departments also have spaces within their majors that allow questions about climate change to arise. Biology comes to mind immediately. But **Economics** does as well. It examines the “tradeoffs inherent in addressing issues confronting us today: problems like income inequality, food scarcity, industrial pollution, labor exploitation, resource depletion, climate change, and the rising cost of health care.” **Geography** and **Global Environmental Studies** are two more. The former offers four concentrations: earth system science; nature-society; globalization, cities and development; and geographic information science; and the latter asks “how different economic practices, laws and policies, cultural values, and social norms across the globe guide peoples’ relation to the planet.” (Climate Change Science and Policy is one possible subfield).

In short, Clark has a long, multifaceted history of addressing the challenge of climate change. So where are we now?

In the last two years, **A new Earth conversation** developed from foundations laid by a Clark faculty based **Council on the Uncertain Human Future** (winter 2015) and the first **Climate Change Teach-in** (March 2015). De-briefs with Faculty and students, as well as interest from President David Angel, resulted in the formation of a yearlong initiative (Oct 2015 to Oct 2016) to ask some fundamental questions: *Given that the planet has entered a process of profound change, can we face it together? Given its gravity and uncertainty, how will we as educators show up? Can we re-envision the work of our community, given this reality?*

The NEC has invited the entire faculty to join a range of opportunities to address these concerns together: a second faculty UHF Council (winter 2016), faculty TRIO conversations, a series of public events including a Teach-in keynote by Naomi Klein, three “Next Steps” lunches and a second **Climate Change Teach-in** (March 2016) in which over fifty faculty members participated in presentations or panels.

So we are, again, poised to ask

What is and ought to be our relationship with nature? (Marsh)

What is the fate of the biosphere and the capacity of both nature and society to sustain life? (Earth Transformed)

Given that the planet has entered a process of profound change, can we face it together?

Can we re-envision the work of our community, given this reality? (NEC)